ORIGINAL PAPER

José O. Siqueira · Orivaldo J. Saggin-Júnior

Dependency on arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and responsiveness of some Brazilian native woody species

Accepted: 29 June 2001 / Published online: 8 September 2001 © Springer-Verlag 2001

Abstract Arbuscular mycorrhiza (AM) associations are of great importance in forest ecology and land rehabilitation in the tropics, but information on AM susceptibility, host dependence, and host responsiveness to the fungi is scarce. The present study was carried out under greenhouse conditions in a low-fertility soil with 29 woody species. There were very large differences between plant species in AM colonization, responsiveness to inoculation, mycorrhizal dependency and efficiency of phosphorus (P) uptake. All of these parameters were influenced by available soil P in solution. AM colonization ranged from zero in several non-mycotrophic species to >60% in the highly mycotrophic ones. Ten species (34% of the total) were found to be mycorrhizaindependent or non-mycotrophic, whereas the rest were highly to very highly dependent. The level of P above which there was no AM effect, defined here as the T' value, allowed distinction between AM dependence and responsiveness of the host and was very efficient for separating species according to these traits. Mycorrhizal responsiveness and dependency were not related and some species were responsive to increased P in the soil solution only when mycorrhizal. Efficiency of P uptake was affected by AM and by P levels. Some species exhibited a high efficiency independent of AM, while others were very inefficient even at high P. Despite differences between species, in most cases AM growth enhancement was nutritionally mediated. Differences in AM responsiveness and dependency as well as the importance of these concepts for reforestation technology in the tropics are discussed.

J.O. Siqueira (🖂)

Departamento de Ciência do Solo, Universidade Federal de Lavras, CP. 37, Lavras, MG, 37200-000, Brazil e-mail: siqueira@ufla.br Fax: +55-35-8291251

O.J. Saggin-Júnior Embrapa Agrobiologia, CP 74505, Seropedica, RJ, 23890-000, Brazil **Keywords** Mycotrophism · Native woody species · Phosphorus uptake · Seedling growth rate · Tropical forest

Introduction

Arbuscular mycorrhizae (AM) are highly evolved mutualistic associations determined by features of the host plant and mycorrhizal fungus and regulated by soil and environmental factors. These associations are important for plant growth, succession and the rehabilitation of deforested lands (Haselwandter and Bowen 1996; Janos 1996). They are also highly promising for inoculation of native woody species in low-fertility soils (Perry et al. 1987; Siqueira et al. 1998). Such associations consist of a very complex underground system in a tripartite interaction which determines the symbiotic effectiveness of the fungus and the responsiveness and dependency of the host plant. Even though the majority of plant species are naturally AM, neither plant benefits from this symbiosis nor the factors responsible for different degrees of mycorrhiza formation and host dependency are well defined and understood. It has been suggested that two independent sets of genes control the occurrence of colonization and the degree of benefit to the host from the symbiosis (Duc et al. 1989). Based upon their ability to growth with or without AM at different levels of soil-available phosphorus (P), plants can be separated into two-major groups: non-mycotrophic and mycotrophic. Mycotrophic plants are further distinguished according to their degree of dependence on the fungus, ranging from obligatory to facultative (Stahl 1900; Janos 1980b).

Because enhanced nutrient uptake is the major plant growth benefit, Baylis (1975) hypothesized that mycorrhizal dependency is largely controlled by root system architecture. Plants with coarsely branched roots and with few or no root hairs are expected to be more dependent on mycorrhiza than are plants with finely branched or fibrous roots. This hypothesis is broadly accepted, but not extensively demonstrated. Gerdemann (1975) defined mycorrhizal dependency as "the degree to which a plant species is dependent on the mycorrhizal condition to produce its maximum growth at a given soil fertility". This definition is widely accepted by mycorrhizasts, but its appropriateness and usefulness has been debated. Janos (1988) considered mycorrhiza dependency as an intrinsic property of a plant species or genotype and defined it as the "host inability for growth without mycorrhizae at a given soil fertility." He considered the difference between mycorrhizal and non-inoculated plants "plant responsiveness". Thus, the mycorrhiza dependency indices of Menge et al. (1978) and Plenchette et al. (1983) measure plant responsiveness. However, use of the index of Menge et al. to make comparisons across species or growth conditions is rather difficult. Although the conceptual distinction between responsiveness and dependence is clear, they have often been confused. This distinction is a matter of concern considering that seedling survival is probably more strongly related to dependence than to responsiveness (Janos 1980a). Host responsiveness is affected by the environment (Brundrett 1991) and by plant-fungus relationships, given the varying effects of different fungus isolates on the same host genotype (Saggin-Júnior and Siqueira 1995). Responsiveness relates directly to host-growth rate and internal P demand (Koide 1991), while mycorrhiza dependency is more related to the nutrient absorption ability of a non-colonized plant than to its nutrient requirement. Plant independence of mycorrhiza arises when uptake by roots alone of mineral nutrients at a given level of supply is sufficient (Janos 1996). According to these concepts, an intrinsically slow-growing species cannot be highly responsive to mycorrhiza, but can exhibit high dependence on mycorrhiza for survival and continued growth if its roots do not supply its nutrient requirements.

The degree of plant dependence is of great practical and ecological interest, but studies of this plant attribute have been mostly conducted with cultivated herbaceous crops and rarely with tropical wild species. Habte and Manjunath (1991) suggested that critical P concentrations in soil solution are useful for classifying host species into AM-dependency categories. Although using the Plenchette et al. index (=responsiveness), these authors were dealing at least in part with mycorrhiza dependence, as they considered plant response to AM in deficient and sufficient P supply. Although it was suggested by Janos (1988) that the threshold level of P above which there is no response to AM (T') measures plant mycorrhiza dependence, this has not been tested experimentally. In the present study, mycorrhiza formation and host responsiveness and dependence were evaluated for seedlings of 29 woody species in a low-fertility Oxisol in Brazil with manipulated P availability.

Materials and methods

Soil preparation

The present study was conducted in plastic pots filled with a clayey, low-fertility Dark Reddish-Latosol (Oxisol) in a glass-

house at the Soil Science Department of the Federal University of Lavras (UFLA), Minas Gerais State, Brazil. Surface soil (0-50 cm depth) was collected from a non-cultivated field with natural "Cerrado" vegetation, allowed to dry, crushed to pass through a 4-mm sieve and then mixed with dolomitic limestone to raise soil base saturation to 50% of CEC at pH 7.0. After liming, a basal nutrient solution was applied and was thoroughly mixed with the soil. Nutrients were supplied at the following rates of mg kg-1 of dry soil: 35 N, 100 K, 7.5 S, 5 Cu, 10 Zn, 10 B and 0.5 Mo. Nutrient solutions were prepared with analytical grade sources of the following: NH4NO3, KCl, CuSO4.5H2O, ZnSO4.7H2O, H3BO3 and Na₂MoO₄.2H₂O. The fertilized soil was transferred to a fumigation brick box and treated with Bromex (methyl bromide 98% + chloropicrin 2%) at a rate of 196 ml·m⁻³ of soil, according to the manufacturer's instructions. At this stage, soil had a water pH (1:2.5) of 5.4; P and K by Mehlich I extraction of 1 and 144 mg dm⁻³ of soil, respectively, and 17, 8 and 1 mmol_o dm⁻³ of Ca, Mg and Al extractable by 1 M KCl solution (Thomas 1982). Extracted P and K were determined by colorimetry and flame photometry, respectively, and the other elements by titrimetry according to routine analysis in this laboratory.

Treatments

The experiment consisted of six treatments in a two-way factorial combination comprising 3 P levels and inoculated (AM) or noninoculated (Ni) plants. These treatments with 10 replicates were tested separately on each species for a total of 60 pots per species. Thus, the total for all species in the experiment involved 1,740 pots, each containing 1.25 kg of dry soil with one seedling in each pot. Pots were arranged on glasshouse benches in a completely randomized design for each species. The three P levels in the soil solution (0.002 mg l⁻¹ as the natural extractable P, 0.02 and 0.2 mg l⁻¹) were established as described by Manjunath and Habte (1990) using a KH₂PO₄ solution applied individually to each pot and thoroughly mixed with the soil. Before planting, extractable P (Mehlich I) concentrations were 1, 2 and 28 mg dm⁻³ of soil for the three P levels, respectively.

Uniform and healthy 1-week-old seedlings of 28 native species (Table 1) and Leucaena leucocephala were obtained from surfacedisinfected seeds supplied by the Seed Laboratory of The Forest Science Department of UFLA. Seeds for all native species were collected from forest fragments on low-fertility soil in southeastern Brazil and germinated on germ-test paper or vermiculite according to seed size. Seed disinfection was by 1% sodium hypochlorite for 5 min followed by three washings with sterile water. Mycorrhizal inoculation was done at transplantation using 3 ml of whole-soil inoculum containing about 75 spores of Glomus etunicatum Becker and Gerdemann per ml of inoculum in addition to hyphae and mycorrhizal root pieces. This G. etunicatum isolate was originally from coffee in Varginha County and had been maintained in culture with Brachiaria decumbens for several years at the Glomalean culture collection of UFLA. For counting, spores were extracted according to Gerdemann and Nicolson (1963). The inoculum was mixed with soil in the planting hole. Ten milliliters of a mycorrhiza-free inoculum filtrate was applied per pot to all non-inoculated pots to standardize microbiota among treatments. The filtrate was prepared by passing soil inoculum infusion through a 53-µm sieve followed by filtering through paper filter. Mycorrhizal inoculum was obtained from a 5-month-old brachiaria grass pot culture. G. etunicatum was used in this study because of its widespread occurrence (Siqueira et al. 1989) and known effectiveness in several plant species tested in our laboratory, including wild woody species (Siqueira et al. 1998). Nodulating leguminous species were also inoculated with specific rhizobial peat-inoculum provided by Embrapa Agrobiologia, Seropédica, RJ. After transplanting to pots with treatments, plants were allowed to grow in a glasshouse until the experiment was terminated (Table 1). The growth period ranged from 58 to 262 days, according to the growth rate of each species. Plants were harvested when they reached a size considered adequate for transplanta-

Table 1 Scientific and common names, family orin the study. Leucaena leucocephala (Lam.) de Wi	subfamily, successional gro t was included as a referenc	up, growth period in the exp e type species according to	beriment, maximal plant height at Habte and Manjunath (1991). Th	t harvest and see e succession is a	ed weight of we according to W	ody species used hitmore (1988)
Scientific name	Common name	Family or subfamily	Succession	Growth period (days)	Plant height (cm)	Mean seed weight (mg)
 Platyciamus regnellii Benth. Platyciamus regnellii Benth. Ormosia arborea (Vell.) Harms. Caesalpinia peltophoroides Benth. Platypodium elegans Vog. Macherium stipitatum (DC.) Vogel Myroxylon peruiferum L.f. Hymenaea courbaril L. Dendropanax cuneatum (DC.) Done e Planch Ceiba speciosa (St. Hil.) Gibbs e Semir Tabebuia roseo-alba (Rid.) Sandw. Solanum granuloso-leprosum Dun. Lithraea molleoides (Vell.) Engl. Trema micrantha (L.) Englet. Luehea grandiflora Mart. Sema spectabilis (A.DC.) Irw. Et Barn. Croton floribundus Spreng Tibouchina granulosa Cogn. Cordia trichotoma (Vell.) Arrab. Ex. Steud Leucaena leucocephala (Lam.) de Wit. Sema macranthera (Collad) Irw. Et Barn. Cordia trichotoma (Vell.) Arrab. Ex. Steud Leucaena leucocephala (Lam.) de Wit. Sema macranthera (Collad) Irw. Et Barn. Caesalpina ferrea Mart. Myrsine umbellata Mart. Tabebuia serratifolia (Vahl) Nichols. Aspidosperma parvifolian (Vahl) Nichols. Aspidosperma parvifolian (Vahl) Nichols. 	Pau-pereira Tento Sibipiruna Jacarandá-branco Jacarandá-branco Jacarandá-roxo Oleo-bálsamo Maria-mole Paineira-mole Paineira-mole Paineira-rosa Ipê-branco Gravitinga Aroeira-branca Açoita-cavalo Capixingui Quaresmeira-roxa Embaúba-cinzenta Louro Capixingui Quaresmeira-roxa Fedegoso Cedro Pau-ferro Pau-ferro Pororoca-branca Ipê-amarelo Guatambu	Faboideae Faboideae Faboideae Faboideae Faboideae Faboideae Faboideae Caesalpinioideae Bignoniaceae Araliaceae Bignoniaceae Anacardiaceae Ulmaceae Tiliaceae Tiliaceae Melastomataceae Melastomataceae Melastomataceae Meliaceae Myrsinaceae Bignoniaceae Bignoniaceae Bignoniaceae Bignoniaceae	Light demanding climax Light demanding climax Light demanding climax Shade tolerant climax Shade tolerant climax Light demanding climax Light demanding climax Light demanding climax Light demanding climax Pioneer Pioneer Light demanding climax Pioneer Light demanding climax Light demanding climax	88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88	18.3 10.5 10.3 10.1 10.1 10.1 10.1 10.1 10.1 10.1	$\begin{array}{c} 625.00\\ 7355.29\\ 7355.00\\ 117.65\\ 117.65\\ 632.91\\ 632.91\\ 632.91\\ 632.91\\ 169.49\\ 169.49\\ 169.49\\ 169.49\\ 1115\\ 33.60\\ 33.66\\ 33.11\\ 41.15\\ 33.66\\ 33.11\\ 41.15\\ 33.66\\ 33.11\\ 41.15\\ 33.66\\ 33.11\\ 67.11\\ 67.11\\ 67.11\\ 67.12\\ 667.11\\ 667.22\\ 667.11\\ 667.22\\ 667.11\\ 667.22\\ 667.11\\ 667.22\\ 667.11\\ 667.22\\ 667.11\\ 667.22\\ 667.11\\ 667.22\\ 667.11\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\ 667.22\\$
copaifera tangsaoriju Dest.	Oleo-copaida	Caesalpinioideae	Lignt demanding climax	707	C.12	cc.4c4

tion (Table 1) of each species. During the pot experiment, soil moisture was maintained at 60–70% of the total soil pore space occupied by water through daily irrigation.

Measurements and statistics

Plants were harvested and dry weight determined after drying roots and shoots at 68°C in a forced air dryer for 1 week. Dry matter was used for calculating the mycorrhizal dependency index (=responsiveness) of Plenchette et al. (1983) and for P analysis. Using the best-fit regression lines for the response of mycorrhizal and non-mycorrhizal plants to P levels, the T' value (Janos 1988) was estimated by the intersection of the two lines and considered as an indicator of host dependency. T' as a measure of host dependency on mycorrhiza benefit.

Samples of lateral fresh root were randomly taken from all replicate plants to assess AM colonization and from only 4 plants of each treatment for root characteristic assessment. Fresh subsamples were mounted on microscope slides and used for measurements of root diameter and the diameter, length and density of root hairs along root segments. For AM colonization assessments, subsamples were clarified and stained according to Koske and Gemma (1989) and mounted on microscope slides with 24×50-mm cover slips. These were examined by light microscopy at 200× magnification; at least 150 intersections were analyzed for total, arbuscular, vesicular and hyphal colonization following the protocol of McGonigle et al. (1990). All heavily stained coencytic hyphae were counted as AM fungus. Root hair incidence was assessed in cleared and stained root subsamples as used for AM colonization and expressed as percent of intersects with root hairs. After plant harvesting, soil samples were taken for spore extraction (Gerdemann and Nicolson 1963) and counting.

Plant dry tissue was ground to pass a 30-mesh screen and subjected to a nitro-percloric digestion for P analysis by colorimetry with molybdophosphate (Sarruge and Haag 1974). Efficiency of P uptake (EPU) was estimated according to Blair (1993) as the ratio of total P uptake per plant divided by total root dry weight. Growth rates (mg day⁻¹) were obtained by dividing maximal dry matter yield of a given species by its growth period. Daily P demand was calculated by multiplying plant growth rate by the mean P concentration in the shoot at a P level of 0.2 mg l^{-1} and expressed as mg day-1 of P. Treatment effects consisted of inoculation with AM fungi and P within species. Data were analyzed for each species as a completely randomized design using ANOVA procedures of the SANEST program (Federal University of Pelotas, RS). When treatment effects were significant ($P \le 0.05$). means were compared with Tukey's test at 0.01. Regression analyses were carried out with TableCurve 3.01 (Jandel Corporation, USA). Pearson product-moment correlation coefficients between different parameters were examined using SigmaStat 1.0 (Jandel Corporation, USA) with Bonferroni-corrected probabilities.

Results

AM colonization parameters were affected by P level and varied markedly between plant species (Table 2). At the highest P level, colonization of several species was

Table 2 AM hyphal, arbuscular, vesicular and total colonization (as percent of root segments) and spore density (number per 50 ml) in woody species after growth in a low-fertility oxisol at three levels of phosphorus (P) in the soil solution (0.002, 0.02 and

0.2 mg l⁻¹). Means followed by the same letters in a row for each species are not different by Tukey's test at P=0.01 (*nf* not found – colonization present but assessment not possible because of difficulty in clearing and staining roots)

Species	Hypha	1		Arbus	cular		Vesicu	lar		Total			Spore	density	
	0.002	0.02	0.2	0.002	0.02	0.2	0.002	0.02	0.2	0.002	0.02	0.2	0.002	0.02	0.2
P. regnellii	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O. arborea	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
C. peltophoroides	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
P. elegans	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
M. stipitatum	<1a	<1a	<1a	<1a	<1a	<1a	<1a	<1a	<1a	<1a	<1a	<1a	<1a	2a	1a
M. peruiferum	<1a	1a	1a	<1a	2a	1a	<1a	1a	<1a	1a	2a	2a	2a	3a	1a
H. courbaril	<1a	<1a	<1a	<1a	<1a	<1a	<1a	<1a	<1a	<1a	1a	<1a	<1b	<1b	8a
D. cuneatum	<1a	1a	<1a	<1a	2a	<1a	<1a	1a	<1a	<1a	2a	<1a	1a	7a	<1a
C. speciosa	<1b	2a	<1b	<1a	<1a	<1a	<1a	<1a	<1a	<1b	3a	<1b	3a	4a	3a
T. roseo-alba	3a	5a	1a	3a	5a	4a	<1a	1a	<1a	ба	10a	5a	0	0	0
S. granleprosum	3ab	7a	2b	32a	49a	4b	15a	19a	2b	36a	57a	5b	2b	98a	1b
L. molleoides	<1a	2a	1a	3b	24a	9ab	<1a	<1a	1a	3b	27a	11ab	3a	4a	4a
T. micrantha	1ab	2a	<1b	9b	31a	1c	1b	7a	<1b	10b	32a	1c	4b	97a	6b
L. grandiflora	1a	2a	2a	ба	19a	9a	<1b	5a	1b	8a	21a	11a	18ab	58a	11b
S. spectabilis	nf	nf	nf	8b	63a	30a	<1a	<1a	1a	8b	63a	30a	9c	347a	90b
C. floribundus	6a	7a	5a	26a	39a	18a	1b	20a	3b	33a	48a	23a	123b	614a	126b
T. granulosa	5a	4a	5a	3a	15a	12a	nf	nf	nf	10a	20a	17a	77b	412a	27b
C. pachystachya	2a	3a	2a	47ab	57a	23b	1a	4a	5a	50ab	62a	24b	63b	725a	101b
C. trichotoma	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	21b	286a	46b
L. leucocephala	28a	5b	1b	33a	14ab	3b	1a	1a	<1a	61a	17ab	3b	98a	39b	21b
S. macranthera	nf	nf	nf	ба	20a	13a	<1a	<1a	1a	6a	20a	13a	21a	30a	23a
C. fissilis	5ab	10a	2b	47a	57a	18a	11ab	16a	4b	58a	70a	20b	238b	471a	18c
C. ferrea	nf	nf	nf	2b	30a	11a	<1a	<1a	<1a	2b	30a	11a	14a	93a	58a
M. umbellata	9a	11a	3a	7b	40a	26ab	<1a	1a	<1a	15a	52a	29a	44ab	116a	11b
T. impetiginosa	6a	4a	1a	19a	37a	27a	1a	2a	1a	25a	41a	29a	17a	20a	17a
S. saponaria	5b	23a	8b	<1a	1a	<1a	<1a	<1a	<1a	5b	24a	9b	3b	20a	15a
T. serratifolia	7a	9a	3a	68a	62a	50a	13a	13a	12a	76a	72a	54a	63a	169a	42a
A. parvifolium	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	4a	3a	<1a
C. langsdorffii	-	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	29a	114a	101a

Table 3 Root characteristics, plant growth rate (dry matter) and estimated P demand for 29 woody species

Species	Root morpl	nology				Plant	Plant P demand (µg∙day ^{−1})	
	Root diameter (µm)	Root hair density (per mm)	Root hair diameter (µm)	Root hair length (µm)	Root hair incidence (%)	− growth rate (mg·day ⁻¹)		
P. regnellii	683	7	19	40	5	8.3	4.35	
O. arborea	602	13	21	42	<1	9.3	7.40	
C. peltophoroides	367	6	7	34	<1	16.0	23.20	
P. elegans	533	7	14	22	4	8.6	8.02	
M. stipitatum	333	34	9	39	3	7.6	6.63	
M. peruiferum	462	5	29	88	<1	3.1	2.88	
H. courbaril	354	26	15	62	43	25.7	31.24	
D. cuneatum	414	31	14	95	79	0.8	0.79	
C. speciosa	490	140	8	107	100	24.2	37.55	
T. roseo-alba	356	84	8	63	74	6.6	6.27	
S. granleprosum	388	37	9	265	98	36.2	109.85	
L. molleoides	290	24	6	113	86	16.5	34.30	
T. micrantha	248	115	9	145	96	49.3	235.63	
L. grandiflora	275	39	8	123	96	31.0	62.54	
S. spectabilis	408	41	15	175	61	34.7	43.71	
C. floribundus	644	16	14	83	72	24.4	33.29	
T. granulosa	450	8	13	158	5	12.9	23.80	
C. pachystachya	264	53	8	123	99	39.3	84.30	
C. trichotoma	499	16	14	92	25	19.0	42.95	
L. leucocephala	323	26	15	138	76	18.3	20.20	
S. macranthera	385	29	17	61	40	26.6	24.50	
C. fissilis	421	53	7	79	73	30.2	34.66	
C. ferrea	337	13	11	44	3	40.4	36.08	
M. umbellata	360	57	7	86	94	13.6	1.64	
T. impetiginosa	382	142	8	124	90	11.0	17.11	
S. saponaria	280	52	10	78	79	17.1	23.79	
T. serratifolia	458	152	7	164	100	23.8	26.41	
A. parvifolium	610	51	8	119	99	6.5	3.91	
C. langsdorffii	471	29	16	72	94	8.5	8.03	

inhibited. This effect was most pronounced in species exhibiting a high degree of colonization. Colonization was completely absent in only four species (Platyciamus regnellii, Ormosia arborea, Caesalpinia peltophoroides and Platypodium elegans) whereas in three others (Cordia trichotoma, Aspidosperma parvifolium and Copaifera langsdorffii) fungal structures were observed in the roots, but colonization was not quantified because of difficulties in root clarification and staining. Several species (Macherium stipitatum, Myroxylon peruiferum, Hymenaea courbaril, Dendropanax cuneatum, Ceiba speciosa and Tabebuia roseo-alba) were mycorrhizal, but colonization was very low. Hyphal colonization was low in most species and reached a maximum of 28% in Leucaena leucocephala. Arbuscular colonization also varied considerably between species. Values $\geq 49\%$ of the root segments with arbuscules were found in Tabebuia serratifolia, Cedrella fissilis, Cecropia pachystachya, Senna spectabilis and Solanum granuloso-leprosum. Vesicular colonization was generally low, except for Tabebuia serratifolia, Cedrella fissilis, Croton floribundus and Solanum granuloso-leprosum, where vesicles were most frequently found. P levels affected colonization, but not for all species. In general, colonization was favored by the intermediate P level (0.02 mg 1⁻¹) and moderately inhibited at the highest level, except for Solanum granuloso-leprosum, Trema micrantha and Leucaena leucocephala. The colonization results indicate interspecific variability of AM susceptibility among these plants. Total root colonization was highly correlated ($r \ge 0.98$; P < 0.01) with arbuscular colonization and thus provides information on mycorrhiza functionality. Species with high growth rates (Table 3) tended to exhibit high levels of arbuscular colonization at 0.02 mg l⁻¹ P in solution. As found for colonization, spore density in the rhizosphere also differed substantially between species. It was highest in species with a high degree of colonization and at a P level favoring colonization. In general, high P was more inhibitory to sporulation than to root colonization.

Root parameters also varied considerably between plant species but were not much affected by the treatments. For this reason, overall means for individual species are presented in Table 3. Root hair density ranged from 5 per mm for *Myroxylon peruiferum* to 152 per mm of root for *Tabebuia serratifolia*. Similar variation between species was found for root hair diameter and length. Root hair incidence varied from very rare to 100% incidence in some species. Root mean diameter ranged from 248 µm for *Trema micrantha* to 683 µm for *Platyciamus regnellii*. Root hairs were relatively frequent in roots of some species, but were generally short. **Fig. 1** Shoot dry mass of woody species seedlings, inoculated or non-inoculated with an arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungus (*Glomus etunicatum*) in soil with three levels of P in solution. Regression lines of the best fit according to TableCurve 3.01 are shown (*ns* non-significant effect, P* significant effect for P only, ** significant effect for AM, P and AM by P)



Phosphorus levels in the soil solution, mg.L⁻¹

Hair length was as low as 22 μ m for *Platypodium elegans* to as high as 265 μ m for *Solanum granuloso-leprosum*. According to one concept (Baylis 1975), roots of *Platyciamus regnellii*, *Croton floribundus*, *Aspidosperma parvifolium* and *Ormosia arborea* are of the magnolioid type (root diameter >560 μ m and hair length <100 μ m). No graminoid-like roots (root diameter <100 μ m) were found in these species, most of them being roots of the intermediate type.

Plant growth measured as shoot dry matter was highly affected by P and AM in most species (Fig. 1). The majority of species grew very poorly in the soil with the original P level (0.002 mg l⁻¹), even when inoculated with the AM fungus. In 10 species (*Platyciamus regnellii*, Ormosia arborea, Caesalpinia peltophoroides, Platypodium elegans, Macherium stipitatum, Myroxylon peruiferum, Hymenaea courbaril, Dendropanax cuneatum, Ceiba speciosa, Tabebuia roseo-alba) no significant ($P \le 0.05$) effect of AM or AM by P interaction was found. In addition, the response of these species to P was either absent ($P \ge 0.05$) or barely significant. The remaining species (66% of the total) responded differently to both factors and exhibited significant AM by P interactions. Phosphorus effects on non-inoculated plants varied from none in Ormosia arborea to a very high response in Cecropia pachystachya and Trema micrantha. Many species were more responsive to P at the intermediate P level in soil solution when they were mycorrhizal (Fig. 1). Response to AM inoculation was highly differentiated by plant species and affected by P level. Cecropia pachystachya and Tibouchina granulosa had a positive response to inoculation as high as 8,000% at 0.02 mg l⁻¹ P, but no significant effect was found at the highest P level. At the two lowest P levels, most plant species exhibiting a high response to inoculation also responded to applied P. Under a higher P in the soil solution, such a relationship was not found. Therefore, most of the species studied responded to both factors when P in soil was insufficient for growth but not under high P (at 0.2 mg l⁻¹). Some species, such as Myrsine umbellata, Tabebuia impetiginosa, Sapindus saponaria, Tabebuia serratifolia, Aspidosperma parvifolium and Copaifera langsdorffii were only responsive to P when mycorrhizal. This indicates a synergistic effect of P and AM on these species when P is limiting plant growth. The mycotrophic habit of this group of species under the conditions of our experiment was also evident, in spite of their low responsiveness to AM fungi. Thus, the distinction between responsiveness and dependency is clear here. Plant species exhibiting no response to mycorrhiza did not have an AM by P interaction, even when they were responsive to P. These species had a low degree of colonization (Table 2) and some did not even form AM with *Glomus etunicatum*. In contrast, AM-responsive species had an AM by P interaction, a high degree of colonization with an average of 40% for total colonization at 0.02 mg l⁻¹ P and were mostly very responsive to P.

Shoot P concentration was also highly affected by the treatments (Table 4). Several species were so severely affected by P deficiency at 0.002 and 0.02 mg l⁻¹ that the dry matter produced was insufficient for nutrient analysis. Increasing soil P availability had no effect on P concentration of several species. This was found primarily in species with reduced or no response to either P or AM. P concentration was maximal in Trema micrantha reaching 5.04 mg kg⁻¹ and minimal in Myrsine umbellata at 0.05 mg kg-1 dry matter. In general, increments of P concentration were affected by increasing soil P and were greater for mycorrhizal than for non-inoculated plants. Significant mycorrhiza beneficial effects on uptake were found only at 0.02 and 0.2 mg l⁻¹ P. Species that had a mycorrhiza effect at 0.02 did not necessarily have one at 0.2, indicating the complex interaction between P and mycorrhizae effects on those species. These interactions were affected by host genotype and soilavailable P, as is well known for cultivated plants. It is interesting to note that in the case of Hymenaea courbaril mycorrhizal plants had lower P than non-mycorrhizal plants at 0.02 mg l⁻¹ P. With insufficient soil P for plant growth (0.002 and 0.02 mg l⁻¹), differences in P concentration in AM plants were correlated with differences in response to inoculation (r=0.75; P<0.01 and r=0.60; P<0.01 for 0.002 and 0.02 mg l⁻¹ P); under sufficient P, such a relationship was not found. These results indicate that growth of several non-inoculated species was severely limited by P deficiency and that mycorrhizal growth enhancement of most species was nutritionally-mediated. Moreover, species non-responsive to AM were also little or not at all affected by increasing P supply in the soil (Fig. 1).

Treatments affected the EPU but not to a great extent (Table 4). In general EPU increased with increasing P level and was affected by AM in a number of species. At the lowest P level, only *Leucaena leucocephala* was favored by AM. The EPU of twice as many plants was significantly enhanced by AM at 0.02 mg l⁻¹ than at 0.2, i.e. only few plant species had EPU enhanced by AM at an adequate P supply (0.2 mg l⁻¹). These species are themselves inefficient in taking up P, even under high P availability and are, thus, dependent on AM fungi for P uptake whether or not they respond in their growth. As some of these species may have obtained the P required for normal growth at 0.2 mg l⁻¹ P, the enhanced P uptake may only represent superfluous consumption. In

fact with *Ceiba speciosa* and *Lithraea molleoides*, no AM effect on growth was found at this P level. EPU values varied from as low as 0.13 in *Myrsine umbellata* to 7.46 mg of accumulated shoot P per g of roots in *Trema micrantha*, the latter being very efficient in taking up soil P. *Myrsine umbellata* may compensate for its low P uptake efficiency by a low P demand (Table 3). Certain species, such as *Ceiba speciosa*, exhibited high EPU independent of AM. For most of the responsive species, mycorrhizal effects on EPU were significant ($P \le 0.05$) and of great magnitude. For instance, in *Leucaena leucocephala* at 0.02 mg l⁻¹ P, EPU was increased 67-fold due to mycorrhizae, confirming the high level of mycotrophy of this species (Habte and Manjunath 1987).

The indices of Plenchette et al. (1983) are presented in Table 5. At low soil P ($0.002 \text{ mg } l^{-1}$), the values were zero except for L. leucocephala and Tabebuia serratifo*lia*, which exhibited mycorrhizal responsiveness at this P level. At 0.02 mg l⁻¹ P, 19 species showed significant mycorrhizal responsiveness while, at the highest P level $(0.2 \text{ mg } l^{-1})$, many species were still responsive to AM. For instance, Tabebuia serratifolia, Tabebuia impetiginosa, Myrsine umbellata and Cedrella fissilis had a Plenchette et al. index greater than 50% at the highest P level, indicating their high degree of mycotrophy. This index was correlated with total root colonization (r=0.67; P<0.01, r=0.80; P<0.01, and r=0.82; P<0.01)and similarly with arbuscular colonization (r=0.56; n.s., r=0.80; P<0.01, and r=0.80; P<0.01) for 0.002; 0.02 and 0.2 mg l⁻¹ P, respectively. In general, species exhibiting a high degree of root colonization were also mycorrhiza responsive. Correlation analysis for Plenchette et al. indices and root characteristics showed no relationship between these variables, except for root hair length, which was positively correlated (r=0.61; P<0.01) with this index at 0.02 mg l⁻¹ P. By applying the categories of host dependency formulated by Habte and Manjunath (1991) (Table 5), we found 10 AM-independent species, 12 very highly dependent, one highly dependent and six species that did not fit well into their categorization. Several species were responsive to mycorrhiza even at high P supply and were otherwise also very highly dependent. Others such as Solanum granuloso-leprosum, Lithraea molleoides, Trema micrantha, Tabebuia impetiginosa, Sapindus saponaria and Copaifera langsdorffii did not fit the Habte and Manjunath categorization but were AM dependent. Janos (1988) T' values are also shown in Table 5. For non-responsive hosts, T' values were considered to be 0.002 mg l-1 because there was no AM effect even at this the lowest P level tested. For the responsive hosts, estimated values ranged from 0.198 for Solanum granuloso-leprosum to higher than 0.464 mg l⁻¹ P for Copaifera langsdorffii. Species were distributed in a continuous way according to this parameter, which indicates the appropriateness of T' as measure of AM dependence. As was the case for the Plenchette et al. index, T' was also correlated with total root colonization (r=0.56; n.s.; r=0.77; P<0.01; r=0.74; P<0.01 for all three P

Table 4 Shoot P concentration $(mg \cdot kg^{-1})$ and efficiency of P uptake (EPU, mg of accumulated P per g of roots) of mycorrhizal (*AM*) and non-mycorrhizal (*Ni*) woody species grown in a low-fertility Oxisol with three levels of P in solution. Means followed by

the same letters (lower case for P levels and upper case for inoculation) indicate no difference within plant species by Tukey's test at P=0.01 (*OP* overall P effect; M by P ns). – not determined due to insufficient plant material)

Species		Shoot	tΡ									EPU								
		0.002			0.02			0.2				0.002			0.02			0.2		
P. regnellii	OP	0.45	a		0.50	а		0.52	а		OP	0.44	a		0.38	а		0.32	а	
O. arborea	OP	0.43	b		0.45	b		0.80	а		OP	1.07	b		1.09	b		3.25	а	
C. peltophoroides	OP	0.80	b		0.78	b		1.45	а		OP	0.91	b		0.86	b		2.55	а	
P. elegans	AM Ni	0.69 0.76	a ab	A A	0.81 0.53	a b	A A	0.95 0.90	a a	A A	AM Ni	0.93 0.89	a ab	A A	$\begin{array}{c} 0.88\\ 0.58 \end{array}$	a b	A A	1.09 1.36	a a	A A
M. stipitatum	OP	0.58	ab		0.51	b		0.87	а		AM Ni	0.51 0.37	b b	A A	0.55 0.31	ab b	A A	1.11 1.48	a a	A A
M. peruiferum	AM Ni	0.90 0.84	a a	A A	1.06 0.86	a a	A A	0.85 1.03	a a	A A	AM Ni	1.36 1.24	a a	A A	1.94 1.29	a a	A A	1.58 2.24	a a	A A
H. courbaril	AM Ni	0.99 1.19	a a	A A	1.08 1.63	a a	B A	1.44 1.07	a a	A A	AM Ni	1.63 1.35	a a	A A	1.58 2.36	a a	A A	2.12 1.14	a a	A A
D. cuneatum	AM Ni	_ (2) _			0.76 -	а		0.98 1.08	а	A A	AM Ni	_			0.72	b		1.44 1.59	a	A A
C. speciosa	AM Ni	0.91 0.89	c b	A A	1.25 0.93	b b	A B	1.84 1.27	a a	A B	AM Ni	2.99 3.05	b a	A A	4.33 3.22	b a	A A	7.26 4.29	a a	A B
T. roseo-alba	OP	0.59	а		0.55	а		0.95	а		OP	1.21	а		0.98	а		1.74	а	
S. granleprosum	AM Ni	_ _			2.20	b		3.04 3.34	а	A A	AM Ni	_			2.25	b		3.70 4.29	а	A A
L. molleoides	AM Ni	1.20	a		1.71 _	а		2.08 1.02	а	A B	AM Ni	3.96 -	а		5.34 _	a		7.15 3.14	а	A B
T. micrantha	AM Ni	_ _			2.66 -	b		4.78 5.04	а	A A	AM Ni	-			7.46 -	a		13.62 16.57	а	A A
L. grandiflora	AM Ni	1.23	a		1.59 _	a		2.02 1.50	а	A A	AM Ni	3.26 -	b		3.92 -	b		7.06 4.33	а	A A
S. spectabilis	AM Ni	0.94 0.83	b ab	A A	1.12 0.60	b b	A B	1.54 0.98	a a	A B	OP	1.19	b		1.13	b		2.25	а	
C. floribundus	AM Ni	0.83 0.63	b b	A A	$\begin{array}{c} 1.01 \\ 0.56 \end{array}$	b b	A B	1.73 1.00	a a	A B	AM Ni	0.48 0.32	c b	A A	0.86 0.29	b b	A B	1.62 0.70	a a	A B
T. granulosa	AM Ni	0.30 -	c		0.74 -	b		1.85 1.20	а	A B	AM Ni	0.42	с		1.74 _	b		4.69 1.61	а	A B
C. pachystachya	AM Ni	_ _			_			2.14 2.08		A A	AM Ni	-			_ _			3.56 3.36		A A
C. trichotoma	AM Ni	1.21 _	b		1.27 _	b		2.26 1.19	а	A B	AM Ni	1.54 -	а		1.42 _	a		1.87 0.94	а	A B
L. leucocephala	AM Ni	0.47 0.35	c ab	A A	0.72 0.15	b b	A B	1.66 0.54	a a	A B	AM Ni	$\begin{array}{c} 0.47 \\ 0.17 \end{array}$	b b	A B	$\begin{array}{c} 0.47 \\ 0.07 \end{array}$	b b	A B	0.99 0.34	a a	A B
S. macranthera	OP	0.53	a		0.63	а		0.92	а		AM Ni	$0.54 \\ 0.47$	b a	A A	0.93 0.48	b a	A A	3.11 1.37	a a	A B
C. fissilis	OP	0.69	a		0.94	а		1.15	а		AM Ni	2.07 0.81	b a	A A	3.90 0.63	a a	A B	5.53 1.69	a a	A B
C. ferrea	AM Ni	0.96 0.93	a a	A A	$\begin{array}{c} 0.84\\ 0.85 \end{array}$	a a	A A	1.07 0.72	a a	A B	AM Ni	1.04 0.84	b a	A A	1.61 0.79	ab a	A B	2.09 1.34	a a	A B
M. umbellata	AM Ni	0.03	b		0.09 -	ab		0.12 0.05	а	A B	AM Ni	0.05	b		0.13	ab		$\begin{array}{c} 0.17\\ 0.06 \end{array}$	а	A B
T. impetiginosa	AM Ni	$\begin{array}{c} 0.57 \\ 0.88 \end{array}$	c a	A A	1.39 0.74	b a	A B	2.14 0.77	a a	A B	AM Ni	0.39 1.22	b a	A A	1.26 0.64	b a	A A	2.25 0.54	a a	A B
S. saponaria	AM Ni	0.74 0.70	c a	A A	1.37 0.67	b a	A B	$\begin{array}{c} 1.81 \\ 0.88 \end{array}$	a a	A B	AM Ni	1.07 1.03	c a	A A	2.58 0.88	b a	A B	3.51 1.41	a a	A B
T. serratifolia	AM Ni	0.68 0.57	c a	A A	1.06 0.57	b a	A B	1.53 0.69	a a	A B	AM Ni	0.59 0.26	b a	A A	1.54 0.27	b a	A B	3.13 0.72	a a	A B
A. parvifolium	OP	0.66	а		0.58	а		0.61	а		OP	0.60	a		0.65	а		0.52	а	
C. langsdorffii	AM Ni	0.55 0.41	c a	A A	0.89 0.41	b a	A B	1.43 0.51	a a	A B	AM Ni	0.36 0.23	b a	A A	0.96 0.24	a a	A B	1.30 0.41	a a	A B

Table 5 Indices (%) according to Plenchette et al. (1983), AMdependence categories of Habte and Manjunath (1991) and estimated T' values of 29 woody species grown in a low-fertility soil with three levels of P in the soil solution (0.002; 0.02; 0.2 mg l⁻¹)

Species	Index			Category	T' value
	0.002	0.02	0.2		
P. regnellii	0	0	0	Independent	0.002
O. arborea	0	0	0	Independent	0.002
C. peltophoroides	0	0	0	Independent	0.002
P. elegans	0	0	0	Independent	0.002
M. stipitatum	0	0	0	Independent	0.002
M. peruiferum	0	0	0	Independent	0.002
H. courbaril	0	0	0	Independent	0.002
D. cuneatum	0	0	0	Independent	0.002
C. speciosa	0	0	0	Independent	0.002
T. roseo-alba	0	0	0	Independent	0.002
S. granleprosum	0	99	0	Highly dependent? ^a	0.198
L. molleoides	0	97	0	Highly dependent?	0.202
T. micrantha	0	98	0	Highly dependent?	0.210
L. grandiflora	0	98	22	Very highly dependent	0.222
S. spectabilis	0	95	29	Very highly dependent	0.225
C. floribundus	0	92	20	Very highly dependent	0.229
T. granulosa	0	100	37	Very highly dependent	0.231
C. pachystachya	0	100	35	Very highly dependent	0.232
C. trichotoma	0	98	36	Very highly dependent	0.235
L. leucocephala	81	92	35	Very highly dependent	0.246
S. macranthera	0	87	45	Very highly dependent	0.293
C. fissilis	0	95	66	Very highly dependent	0.297
C. ferrea	0	76	34	Very highly dependent	0.322
M. umbellata	0	98	79	Very highly dependent	0.346
T. impetiginosa	0	58	57	Very highly dependent?	0.347
S. saponaria	0	36	24	Very highly dependent?	0.395
T. serratifolia	59	89	80	Very highly dependent	0.406
A. parvifolium	0	68	0	Highly dependent	0.464
C. langsdorffii	0	50	38	Very highly dependent?	>0.464

^a Not perfectly fit

levels, respectively), but not with the root characteristics presented in this paper (Table 4).

Discussion

Considering the basic biology of plant-fungus interactions, it is to be expected that the extent of mycorrhizal colonization of the root system and related plant responses will vary in different plant-fungus combinations (Hetrick et al. 1996; Smith and Read 1997). Nevertheless, study of host response to inoculation with a single fungus isolate can provide useful information. This is particularly true where the inoculating fungus exhibits a broad host range, as is the case for the Glomus etunicatum isolate used in this study. We found wide variation in root colonization and host mycorrhiza responsiveness and dependency among the 29 woody species grown in a low-fertility soil with ambient or increased P in the soil solution. Considering that there is little or no evidence for host-fungus specificity in AM, difference in plant susceptibility to mycorrhiza formation and responsiveness can be attributed mostly to the host genome rather than to that of the fungus. In fact, the woody species Liquidambar styraciflua L. exhibits high dependence and responds positively to several fungus species (Kormanik et al. 1981). As AM fungi all have a similar strategy for host growth enhancement in low-fertility soils, by increasing nutrient acquisition, the results and their interpretation obtained with a single isolate could change, though not to a great extent, when a different effective isolate is used to colonize the plants.

Although the extent of AM colonization varies markedly with different host genotypes, as reported here, the biochemical and physiological mechanisms and the genetic control of these interactions have not been elucidated. Thus, our findings can not be explained with the current state of knowledge. The variation may represent an evolutionary process of this mutualistic association which is expressed differently in different hosts from similar plant communities. Because fungus and growing conditions were standardized, our results suggest that differences in AM susceptibility and degree of colonization are determined by plant intrinsic characteristics, as suggested also by Koide and Schreiner (1992). In contrast, host responsiveness is determined by fungal efficiency (Saggin-Júnior and Siqueira 1995) and by the environment (Janos 1996). We found variation in colonization parameters even within species with high colonization and responsiveness. These may be determined by differences in plant-fungus relationships at the chemical or anatomical levels (Brundrett et al. 1990; Gianinazzi-Pearson 1992). However, with an adequate P supply for plant growth, AM colonization may be determined by specific plant factors related to mycorrhizal dependence. High P is known to depress mycorrhiza formation (Amijee et al. 1989), but in the present study such an effect was not uniform among species, in agreement with results of Habte and Manjunath (1991). Sensitivity to AM colonization by a given fungus at an excess of P may be controlled by differences in host dependence on mycorrhizae for P uptake (Manjunath and Habte 1991), with these characteristics being inversely related. In fact, Solanum granuloso-leprosum and Trema micrantha, which are not very dependent, showed a drastic reduction (up to 90%) in AM colonization after an increase in solution P from 0.02 to 0.2 mg l⁻¹. Controlling mechanisms for such effects are very complex (Brundrett 1991) and are still unresolved. Colonization appears to be under the control of both partners, but the plant may have an overriding role considering the evidence that the regulation is nutritionally mediated. As indicated by Siqueira et al. (1984) and the data shown here, plant nutrient requirements and their fulfillment in the absence of AM are the major factors determining P effects on root colonization.

In our study, several species were non- or poorly mycorrhizal and, thus, considered to be non-mycotrophic or mycorrhiza independent. Most of these species also exhibited a low response to P in contrast to mycotrophic species, which generally had high colonization and responsiveness to both AM and P. Colonization was more closely related to the Plenchette et al. index at 0.02 and 0.2 mg l⁻¹ P than at 0.002 mg l⁻¹. Therefore, mycorrhiza formation, response to added P, host nutrient requirement, and mycorrhiza responsiveness are all interrelated and, as stated by Janos (1996), host independence of AM is a consequence of low nutrient requirement or the ability of roots alone to take up all required mineral nutrients.

AM-independent species also show a low response to raised P in the soil solution, while responsive species may not necessarily have a high degree of dependence. To cope with a low nutrient situation, non- or lowresponsive species may have other strategies such as a low growth rate or high seed reserves of nutrients (Chapin 1980). Our data show that mycotrophic species exhibit varied responsiveness to AM and to added P and that these responses may not be related to the mycorrhiza dependence of a particular host. For example, Trema micrantha and Solanum granuloso-leprosum exhibited high responsiveness (Plenchette et al. index) but not high T', Cedrella fissilis and Tabebuia serratifolia high responsiveness and high T', while Tabebuia impetiginosa and Sapindus saponaria had a low response and high T'. Even though concepts for host dependence and responsiveness to AM have been controversial (Habte and Manjunath 1991), our results show that these characteristics can be distinguished in some tropical woody species. Responsiveness is best expressed at intermediate P levels, where responsive species show large differences after inoculation with AM fungus. In contrast, dependency as measured by T' is expressed at a P level sufficient for plant growth. This reflects the continued requirement for AM at high P and not the extent of the mycorrhiza effect and is a measure of the host requirement for mycorrhiza relative to the contribution of roots alone in nutrient acquisition. Mycorrhizal dependence is an inherent characteristic for which plant nutrient requirement and uptake efficiency are important parameters and not fungal effectiveness or environmental conditions.

It has been widely accepted from research in temperate regions (usually soils with high P) that plants with highly branched and fine roots have sparse mycorrhizae and derive little benefit from AM. They, thus, show little dependence on AM according to the proposition by Baylis (1975). Our results do not support this hypothesis in that some AM-independent species, such as Platyciamus regnellii and Ormosia arborea, have coarse roots and few root hairs. Furthermore, other species with finer roots and abundant root hairs, such Tabebuia serratifolia, were dependent. Overall, no relationship was found between root characteristics and any of the mycorrhizadependence indicators. Similarly, Manjunath and Habte (1991) showed that plants with similar root morphological characteristics could differ in degree of mycorrhiza dependence. At low-available P, as found in most tropical soils, even finely branched roots may not ensure adequate nutrition for fast-growing species, making them very responsive to and dependent on AM, as demonstrated here for some of the species we studied. That some plants with coarse roots are able to grow well without mycorrhizae in low-P soils indicates additional nutrient acquisition mechanisms (Chapin 1980) or high use efficiency (Manjunath and Habte 1991) acquired along with long-term adaptation to such environments.

Considering the importance of mycorrhiza dependence for seedling survival (Janos 1980a), it is of great interest to categorize species according to this characteristic. Although the results also reflected the Plenchette et al. index (=responsiveness), Habte and Manjunath (1991) were able to separate plants according to their degree of mycorrhiza dependence. However, this procedure was not adequate for separating the species we studied because they fitted into only three categories and some could not be categorized. It may well be that the species selected for this study presented low diversity in this respect. In contrast, T' values were effective for separating the mycotrophic species as a continuous trait. All species with T' ≥ 0.198 mg l⁻¹ P could be considered to have high dependence. All 19 mycotrophic species fitted into this group, but exhibited different degrees of dependence. Species with T'≥0.346 mg l⁻¹ P could be considered as obligatory mycotrophic because they only responded to P in the presence of AM. In addition, most species in the latter group exhibited low AM responsiveness. Therefore, this variation in T' values indicates diversity of this feature among species, and the degree of mycorrhizal dependency of a given host is not necessarily related to its responsiveness to a fungus or to added P. Usually, high P responders were mycotrophic, but these species were not amongst those with a high degree of dependency (T'). Moreover, maximal T' values were found in the mycotrophic species least responsive to P when they are nonmycorrhizal. Thus, the degree of AM responsiveness can be experimentally distinguished from the degree of AM dependence by estimating T'.

At the initial growth stage, the woody species studied here were either non-mycotrophic (independent) or had a high degree of mycotrophy, the latter being the most common. With some AM-independent and P-responsive species, P fertilizer should be applied in order to guarantee adequate seedling development. Dependent species can be either non-responsive or responsive to different degrees to increased available soil P. Even species responsive to P should be inoculated with effective AM in order to enhance outplant survival and initial growth in the field.

Acknowledgements This study was funded by grants from FAPEMIG and CEMIG. Both authors received scholarships from CNPq.

References

- Amijee F, Tinker PB, Stribley DP (1989) The development of endomycorrhizal root systems. VII. A detailed study of effects of soil phosphorus on colonization. New Phytol 111:435–446
- Baylis GTS (1975) The magnolioid mycorrhiza and mycotrophy in root systems derived from it. In: Sanders FE, Mosse B, Tinker PB (eds) Endomycorrhizas. Academic, London, pp 373–389
- Blair G (1993) Nutrient efficiency what do we really mean? In: Randall PJ, Delhaize E, Richards RA, Munns R (eds) Genetic aspects of plant mineral nutrition. Kluwer, The Hague, The Netherlands, pp 205–213
- Brundrett M (1991) Mycorrhizas in natural ecosystems. Adv Ecol Res 21:171–313
- Brundrett M, Murase G, Kendrick B (1990) Comparative anatomy of roots and mycorrhizae of common Ontario trees. Can J Bot 68:551–578
- Chapin FS (1980) The mineral nutrition of wild plants. Annu Rev Ecol Syst 11:233–260
- Duc G, Trouvelot A, Gianinazzi-Pearson V, Gianinazzi S (1989) First report of non-mycorrhizal plant mutants (Myc⁻) obtained in pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) and fababean (*Vicia faba* L.). Plant Sci 60:215–222
- Gerdemann JW (1975) Vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal. In: Torrey JG, Clarkson DT (eds) The development and function of roots. Academic, London, pp 575–591
- Gerdemann JW, Nicolson TH (1963) Spores of mycorrhizal *Endogone* species extracted from soil by wet sieving and decanting. Trans Br Mycol Soc 46:235–244
- Gianinazzi-Pearson V (1992) Recent research into the cellular, molecular and genetical bases of compatible host-fungus interactions in (vesicular) arbuscular endomycorrhiza: approaches and advances. In: Séminaire régional sur interaction plantes microorganismes, Dakar, 1992. Interactions plantes microorganismes. IFS/ORSTOM, Stockholm, pp 253–263
- Habte M, Manjunath A (1987) Soil solution phosphorus status and mycorrhizal dependency in *Leucaena leucocephala*. Appl Environ Microbiol 53:797–801
- Habte M, Manjunath A (1991) Categories of vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal dependency of host species. Mycorrhiza 1:3–12
- Haselwandter K, Bowen GD (1996) Mycorrhizal relations in trees for agroforestry and land rehabilitation. For Ecol Manage 81:1–17
- Hetrick BAD, Wilson GWT, Todd TC (1996) Mycorrhizal response in wheat cultivars: relationship to phosphorus. Can J Bot 74:19–25
- Janos DP (1980a) Vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizae affect lowland tropical rain forest plant growth. Ecology 61:151–162

- Janos DP (1980b) Mycorrhizae influence tropical succession. Biotropica 12:56–64 and 83–95
- Janos DP (1988) Mycorrhiza applications in tropical forestry: are temperate-zone approaches appropriate? In: NG FSP (ed) Trees and mycorrhiza. Forest Research Institute, Kuala Lumpur, pp 133–188
- Janos DP (1996) Mycorrhizas, succession and the rehabilitation of deforested lands in the humid tropics. In: Frankland JC, Nagun N, Gadd GM (eds) Fungi and environmental change. British Mycology Society Symposium, vol 20. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp 129–162
- Koide RT (1991) Nutrient supply, nutrient demand and plant response to mycorrhizal infection. New Phytol 117:365–386
- Koide RT, Schreiner RP (1992) Regulation of vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis. Annu Rev Plant Physiol Plant Mol Biol 43:557–581
- Kormanik PP, Bryan WC, Schultz RC (1981) Effects of three vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on sweetgum seedlings from nine mother trees. For Sci 27:327–335
- Koske RE, Gemma JN (1989) A modified procedure for staining roots to detect VA mycorrhizas. Mycol Res 92:486–488
- Manjunath A, Habte M (1990) Establishment of soil solution P levels for studies involving vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis. Commun Soil Sci Plant Anal 21:557–566
- Manjunath A, Habte M (1991) Relationship between mycorrhizal dependency and rate variables associated with P uptake, utilization and growth. Commun Soil Sci Plant Anal 22:1423– 1437
- McGonigle TP, Miller MH, Evans DG, Fairchild GL, Swan JA (1990) A new method which gives an objective measure of colonization of roots by vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. New Phytol 115:495–501
- Menge JA, Johnson ELV, Platt RG (1978) Mycorrhizal dependency of several citrus cultivars under three nutrient regimes. New Phytol 81:553–559
- Perry DA, Molina R, Amaranthus MP (1987) Mycorrhizae, micorrhizospheres and reforestation: current knowledge and research needs. Can J For Res 17:929–940
- Plenchette C, Fortin JA, Furlan V (1983) Growth responses of several plants species to mycorrhizae in a soil of moderate P fertility. I. Mycorrhizal dependency under field conditions. Plant Soil 70:199–209
- Saggin-Júnior OJ, Siqueira JO (1995) Evaluation of the symbiotic effectiveness of endomycorrhizal fungi for coffee tree. Rev Bras Ci Solo 19:221–228
- Sarruge JR, Haag HP (1974) Análises químicas em plantas. ESALQ-USP, Piracicaba
- Siqueira JO, Hubbell DH, Valle RR (1984) Effects of phosphorus on formation of the vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis. Pesq Agropecu Bras 19:1465–1474
- Siqueira JO, Colozzi-Filho A, Oliveira E (1989) Ocorrência de micorrizas em agro e ecossistemas do Estado de Minas Gerais. Pesq Agropecu Bras 24:1499–1506
- Siqueira JO, Carneiro MAC, Curi N, Rosado SCS, Davide AC (1998) Mycorrhizal colonization and mycotrophic growth of native woody species as related to successional groups in southeastern Brazil. For Ecol Manage 107:241–252
- Smith SE, Read DJ (1997) Mycorrhizal symbiosis, 2nd edn. Academic, San Diego
- Stahl PD (1900) Der Sinn der Mykorrhizenbildung. Jahrb Wiss Bot 34:539–668
- Thomas GW (1982) Exchangeable cations. In: Page AL, Miller RH, Keeney DR (eds) Methods of soil analysis, part 2. Chemical and microbiological properties, 2nd edn. ASA/SSSA, Madison, Wis, pp 159–165
- Whitmore TC (1988) The influence of tree population dynamics on forest species composition. In: Davy AJ, Hutchings MJ, Watkinson AR (eds) Plant population ecology. Blackwell, Oxford, pp 271–291